

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,244	09/05/2006	Hassan Hans Badreddine	3003-1182	9612
466 Young & Ti	7590 01/29/2008		EXAMINER	
745 SOUTH 2	3RD STREET		UPTON, CHRISTOPHER	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Medical	, 11 22202		1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/585,244	BADREDDINE, HASSAN HANS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher Upton	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply will, by start Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ To allow closed in accordance with the practice under the pr	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matter				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication for a line in the line in the papplication for a line in the papplication for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application			

10/585,244 Art Unit: 1797

- 1. The specification lacks section headings, and also lacks a reference to the applicant's PCT application.
- 2. Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6 and 10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

The use of the term "may" renders the claims vague and indefinite, and further fails to limit their parent claims, as "may implies an option.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 1797

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 11-13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by German patent 39 16 520.

The German patent discloses a biological treatment system having a treatment chamber with a central airlift column and a lower sludge collection region in communication with a settling chamber, as claimed.

5. Claims 3, 5, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German patent 39 16 520 in view of Stuth or Crosby.

Claims 3 and 18 differ from the German patent in recitation of an upper screen, while claims 5 and 20 recite buoyant carriers (The German patent does not appear to state whether the carriers are buoyant or not). The use of buoyant carriers, retained by both upper and lower screens, in similar systems is known, as exemplified by Stuth and Crosby. It is therefore submitted that use of a fully retained buoyant carrier in the German patent would have been an obvious substitution of one known carrier for another for one skilled in the art, and therefore fails to patentably distinguish over the German patent.

5. Claims 1, 2, 6 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yabuuchi and Japanese patent 3-101896.

Yabuuchi and the Japanese patent each disclose a biological treatment system having a treatment chamber with a central airlift column and a lower sludge collection region in communication with a settling chamber, as claimed.

6. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oshima et al.

Oshima discloses a biological treatment system having a treatment chamber (3) having a floating carrier (16) with a central airlift column and a lower sludge collection region in communication with a settling chamber, as claimed.

7. Claims 3, 4, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oshima.

Claims 3, 4, 18 and 19 recite upper and lower screens. While not clearly labeled by Oshima, these elements obviously appear to be shown in figure 1, as there are lines depicted above and below the carrier.

8. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sanyal, Choun, and German patents 196 33 629 and 35 16 617.

Sanyal, Choun and the German patents each disclose water treatment plants having a spherical carrier with a bore, as claimed.

9. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jager, Hoon, Ikawa and Hackenjos.

Application/Control Number:

10/585,244

Art Unit: 1797

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jager, Hoon, Ikawa and Hackenjos.

Jager, Hoon, Ikawa and Hackenjos each disclose packing elements in the form of a sphere with a bore, as recited in claim 16. While the disclosed preferred use of each is not a water treatment plane, it is submitted that these carriers may obviously be used for such a purpose, as any known packing element may obviously be used for such a purpose.

10. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German patent 39 16 520 or Oshima, each in view of Jager, Hoon, Ikawa, Hackenjos Sanyal, Choun, or German patents 196 33 629 and 35 16 617.

Claims 8-10 differ from Oshima and the German '520 patent in recitation of the carriers being in the form of spheres with bores and a textured or patterned surface. Since such packing elements are known, as exemplified by the secondary references, it is submitted that their use in the devices of the German '520 patent or Oshima would have been an obvious substitution of one known packing and carrier element for another for one skilled in the art. Note that, while Oshima discloses the use of corrugated sheets, this is given as an exemple only, and therefore, obviously other known carriers may be substituted.

Application/Control Number:

10/585,244

Art Unit: 1797

11. Claims 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The recitation of a waste water treatment system having a treatment chamber with carrier elements and a central vertical airlift column above a sludge collection region, wherein the sludge collection region is connected at its lower end with an annular settling chamber with a lower aerator, which is further connected at its lower end to a further settling chamber patentably distinguishes over the prior art of record.

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other references of interest include Pannier, Kato, Struewing, Singer and Lunt.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Upton whose telephone number is 571-272-1169. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, off every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/585,244

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher Upton Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797